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U.S. companies that have already carried out inversions are likely to cost the government a record $2.2 billion or more in lost tax revenue next year, double the amount in 2014, according to calculations based on companies’ financial results.

That doesn’t include the impact of companies that shift their legal addresses abroad in the future, which one Congressional study pegged at about $2 billion a year over the next decade. Since the first inversion in 1982, the deals have cost more than $9.8 billion in inflation-adjusted dollars, the calculations based on data compiled by Bloomberg show.

In an era when tax rates paid by U.S. companies overall have declined, those that inverted reduced their taxes far more than competitors did. They were able to lower their effective tax rates between 6.6 and 17.4 percentage points more than peers that didn’t take a foreign address, the calculations show.

The data highlight how the U.S. government is paying the price for inversions it allowed to happen years or decades earlier. Even if Congress or President Barack Obama, who has called inversions an “unpatriotic tax loophole,” were to stop them today, the erosion of the tax base by past deals will continue to accelerate.

Many inverted companies are using their tax edge to out-compete U.S. rivals or buy them. Actavis Plc, a drugmaker with roots in New Jersey and California, took a legal address in tax-friendly Ireland last year. Since then, it has struck deals to acquire four U.S. competitors and slash their tax bills by hundreds of millions of dollars.

**Winners and Losers**

“It’s a system that creates an artificial group of winners and losers,” said Bret Wells, a professor at the University of Houston Law Center who has testified before Congress on tax policy.

Inversions have drawn increasing attention this year, including the current debate over Obama’s nomination of Antonio Weiss as a Treasury undersecretary. Some Democratic senators have objected to Weiss because the investment banker worked on Burger King Worldwide Inc.’s pending inversion to Canada.

Data compiled by Bloomberg show that U.S. companies that are already inverted will earn a record $32.7 billion before taxes next year, more than double this year’s profit. The growth mostly reflects profit expansion at companies such as Actavis and Valeant Pharmaceuticals International Inc. that inverted more than a year ago.

**Tax Advantage**
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To determine the amount of taxes these companies are avoiding, Bloomberg compiled the financial results of 15 companies that inverted between 1994 and 2009, and compared their effective tax rates during the three years before and after their address changes with those of firms in the same industries that didn’t invert.

Measured by the amount of tax expense they recognized under accounting rules, these businesses lowered their average tax rate to 15.7 percent from 33.5 percent. That means they enjoyed a decline of 17.8 percentage points while their competitors cut their rate by 0.4 percentage point -- a relative tax advantage of 17.4 percentage points.

Considering actual cash taxes paid rather than accounting expense, the tax advantage is about 6.6 percentage points. Inverted firms reduced their rate to 13 percent from 21.3 percent by that measure, while peers cut it by 1.8 percentage points.

**Ingersoll-Rand Shifts**
The example of Ingersoll-Rand Plc, a New Jersey manufacturer that shifted its legal address to Bermuda in 2001, shows how much tax savings is possible. The company lowered its effective tax rate under accounting rules to 12 percent from 34 percent, during a time when competitors had only a 6-point decline. It has since shifted addresses again, to Ireland.

Misty Zelent, a spokeswoman for the company, declined to comment. David Belian, a spokesman for Actavis, also declined to comment.

Based on the total amount of profit inverted companies will earn this year, the tax-rate improvement from the Bloomberg calculations, of 6.6 to 17.4 percentage points, suggests that inverted companies will save $1.1 billion to $2.8 billion. Based on Wall Street brokerages’ estimates of next year’s profits, the potential savings is $2.2 to $5.7 billion next year. In all, the U.S. estimates it will collect about $389 billion in corporate income taxes in the current budget year.

**Burger King, Medtronic**
None of those figures include the impact of inversions that have yet to be completed. Seven companies are currently planning to invert. The queue includes Burger King as well as Medtronic Inc., which would be the biggest company ever to complete such a transaction.

Most of these pending deals would be prevented by measures proposed by Congressional Democrats that would stop inversions carried out through a takeover of a smaller foreign company. A projection from Congress’s nonpartisan Joint Committee on Taxation, in May, estimated that such a bill would bring in $19.5 billion in otherwise forgone tax revenue over the next decade.
Bloomberg's calculations follow the methodology used in a 2004 paper in the National Tax Journal by James Seida of the University of Notre Dame in Indiana and William Wempe of Texas Christian University in Fort Worth. That study, examining the accounting expense for tax recorded by 12 inverted companies, found a decline of about 7.6 percentage points compared with peers.

**Tyco's Savings**
The Bloomberg calculations were carried out with suggestions from Seida and from Michelle Hanlon, an accounting professor at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology’s Sloan School of Management.

Company managers’ own statements provide another glimpse into the tax savings from inversions. On average, companies announcing inversion plans said they expected to knock about 6.4 percentage points off their tax rates, according to Bloomberg's compilation of public comments from 28 firms.

One of the largest inverted companies, Tyco International Ltd., estimated that its inversion saved $400 million in taxes in 2001 alone, and Eaton Corp. predicted its 2012 inversion would save $160 million a year. A study published by the magazine Tax Notes in 2010 found at least $4 billion of savings by four large inverted companies over about a decade. In all, there have been 45 inversions since the first one in 1982.

**Quirk in Tax Code**
Companies pursue inversions because of a quirk in the U.S. tax code that favors foreign-owned companies over domestic ones. The U.S. imposes its 35 percent corporate income rate, the highest among developed countries, on the worldwide earnings of American corporations, but not the earnings outside the U.S. of foreign-owned firms.

Simply by buying or creating a foreign parent company, U.S. multinationals can avoid the tax on their income abroad. What’s more, inversions can help bolster other tax-avoidance techniques that involve shifting corporate profits from the U.S. to tax havens such as the Cayman Islands, often through the use of interest or royalty payments. Preventing that activity would require wide-ranging changes to the tax code.

After more than a dozen companies inverted in the past three years, the Treasury Department in September limited the tax benefits of some types of future inversions. The Democrats’ proposals to restrict inversions through legislation are stalled in Congress. Republicans say that the issue should be addressed only as part of a broader revamp of the tax code.

**More Takeovers**
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To the extent that the U.S. creates more barriers to inversion, it may just encourage more U.S. companies to be sold, said Linda Swartz, a tax partner at Cadwalader Wickersham & Taft LLP in New York.

“The inversion rules simply set U.S. companies up for takeovers by larger foreign acquirers,” Swartz said. “It doesn’t stop the flow of capital out of the U.S. It simply changes the vehicle for leaving.”

Sometimes, these foreign acquirers are just U.S. companies that inverted years earlier. One U.S. drug company that had planned to invert this year, Auxilium Pharmaceuticals Inc., changed plans after the new Treasury rules took effect and agreed to be sold instead to Endo International Plc, a company that inverted in February.

Actavis said on Nov. 16 that it would become one of the 10 largest drugmakers in the world through a $66 billion acquisition of Allergan Inc., the Irvine, California-based maker of Botox.

Brenton Saunders, Actavis’s chief executive officer, said the deal will lead to annual “financial” savings of as much as $500 million a year, a category that he said on a conference call consisted mostly of lower tax costs. Spokesman Belian declined to comment further on the CEO’s estimate.

The deal allowed Allergan to fend off a hostile takeover by Valeant, which also based its bid in part on the money it could save by lowering Allergan’s taxes. A former neighbor of Allergan’s in Southern California, Valeant carried out its own inversion to Canada in 2010.
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